## EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

May 14, 2003

The Honorable Michael K. Powell, Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 MAY 1 5 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

02-277

Re: Broadcast Ownership Rules

Dear Mr. Powell:

We urge you and your Commission to NOT relax the broadcast ownership rules. If the proposed broadcast ownership rules are adopted, independent voices in cities all across the US could be snuffed out by large corporations. We are already seeing that happen as a result of aggressive aggregation of radio stations by Clear Channel Communications.

Whole communities and entire, less populated states with few stations could be dominated by one media company which will determine which viewpoints to air and which to censor. These big media conglomerates, including Viacom/CBS and Disney/ABC, have used this power in the past to keep opposing viewpoints off of the air. These proposed rule changes would give them far greater draconian power to keep opposing views off of the air and out of the newspapers.

American citizens deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. In an industry that is regulated by the government to assure equal broadcast access, it is critical that you continue the broadcast ownership protections. This will insure a healthy political debate in this great nations for years to come.

Respectfully

Kevin C. Conner 3502 Pecan Gap

## EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

02-277

May 14, 2003

The Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 MAY 1 5 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Re: Broadcast Ownership Rules

Dear Ms. Abemathy:

We urge you and your Commission to NOT relax the broadcast ownership rules. If the proposed broadcast ownership rules are adopted, independent voices in cities all across the US could be snuffed out by large corporations. We are already seeing that happen as a result of aggressive aggregation of radio stations by Clear Channel Communications.

Whole communities and entire, less populated states with few stations could be dominated by one media company which will determine which viewpoints to air and which to censor. These big media conglomerates, including Viacom/CBS and Disney/ABC, have used this power in the past to keep opposing viewpoints off of the air. These proposed rule changes would give them far greater draconian power to keep opposing views off of the air and out of the newspapers.

American citizens deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. In an industry that is regulated by the government to assure equal broadcast access, it is critical that you continue the broadcast ownership protections. This will insure a healthy political debate in this great nations for years to come.

Respectfully,

3502 Pecan Gap

EY PARTE OR LATE FILED

02-277

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

May 14, 2003

The Honorable Michael J. Copps, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: Broadcast Ownership Rules

Dear Mr. Copps:

We urge you and your Commission to NOT relax the broadcast ownership rules. If the proposed broadcast ownership rules are adopted, independent voices in cities all across the US could be snuffed out by large corporations. We are already seeing that happen as a result of aggressive aggregation of radio stations by Clear Channel Communications.

Whole communities and entire, less populated states with few stations could be dominated by one media company which will determine which viewpoints to air and which to censor. These big media conglomerates, including Viacom/CBS and Disney/ABC, have used this power in the past to keep opposing viewpoints off of the air. These proposed rule changes would give them far greater draconian power to keep opposing views off of the air and out of the newspapers.

American citizens deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. In an industry that is regulated by the government to assure equal broadcast access, it is critical that you continue the broadcast ownership protections. This will insure a healthy political debate in this great nations for years to come.

Respectfully

3502 Pecan Gap

## EN PARTY OR LATE FILED

02-217

May 14, 2003

The Honorable Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 MAY 1 5 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Re:

Broadcast Ownership Rules

Dear Mr. Martin:

We urge you and your Commission to NOT relax the broadcast ownership rules. If the proposed broadcast ownership rules are adopted, independent voices in cities all across the US could be snuffed out by large corporations. We are already seeing that happen as a result of aggressive aggregation of radio stations by Clear Channel Communications.

Whole communities and entire, less populated states with few stations could be dominated by one media company which will determine which viewpoints to air and which to censor. These big media conglomerates, including Viacom/CBS and Disney/ABC, have used this power in the past to keep opposing viewpoints off of the air. These proposed rule changes would give them far greater draconian power to keep opposing views off of the air and out of the newspapers.

American citizens deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. In an industry that is regulated by the government to assure equal broadcast access, it is critical that you continue the broadcast ownership protections. This will insure a healthy political debate in this great nations for years to come.

Respectfully.

Kevin C. Conner 3502 Pecan Gap

## EX PAINTE OR LATE FILED

02-277

May 14, 2003

The Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein, Commissioner 1 5 2003 Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC - MAILROOM

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

Re: **Broadcast Ownership Rules** 

Dear Mr. Adelstein:

We urge you and your Commission to NOT relax the broadcast ownership rules. If the proposed broadcast ownership rules are adopted, independent voices in cities all across the US could be snuffed out by large corporations. We are already seeing that happen as a result of aggressive aggregation of radio stations by Clear Channel Communications.

Whole communities and entire, less populated states with few stations could be dominated by one media company which will determine which viewpoints to air and which to censor. These big media conglomerates, including Viacom/CBS and Disney/ABC, have used this power in the past to keep opposing viewpoints off of the air. These proposed rule changes would give them far greater draconian power to keep opposing views off of the air and out of the newspapers.

American citizens deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. In an industry that is regulated by the government to assure equal broadcast access, it is critical that you continue the broadcast ownership protections. This will insure a healthy political debate in this great nations for years to come.

Respectfully.

3502 Pecan Gap